Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,491	ROESLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Truong	1711	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Duc Truong*. (3) Richard Roesler

(2) Debra Anderson (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *24 March 2005*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: art of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Applicant's arguments are based on the claimed step(B) but agreement was not reached since Examiner's arguments are based on mechanisms from the products of the claimed formula. Applicant will respond to the Advisory Action.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Duc Truong
Examiner's signature, if required